the Almighty, the Supreme Being, the Lord, Providence, the Messiah, the Holy Spirit.

- 4. Proper names of persons, places, streets, mountains, rivers, ships: as, "George, York, the Strand, the Alps, the Thames, the Seahorse."
- 5. Adjectives derived from the proper names of places: as, "Grecian, Roman, English, French, Italian."
- 6. Words of particular importance: as, "the Reformation, the Reforation, the Revolution."
- 7. The first word of a quotation, introduced after a colon, or when it is in a direct form: as, "Always remember this ancient maxim: 'Know thyfelf.'" "Our great Lawgiver says, 'Take up thy cross daily, and follow me.'" But when a quotation is brought in obliquely after a comma, a capital is unnecessary: as, "Solomon observes, 'that pride goes before destruction.'"

The first word of an example may also very properly begin with a capital: as, "Temptation proves our virtue."

- 8. Every substantive and principal word in the titles of books: as, "Johnson's Dictionary of the English Language;" "Thomson's Seasons;" "Rollin's Ancient History."
 - 9. The first word of every line in poetry.
- 10. The pronoun I, and the interjection O! are written in capitals: as, "I write;" "Hear, O earth!"

Other words, besides the preceding, may begin with capitals, when they are remarkably emphatical, or the principal subject of the composition.

5 AP 58

APPENDIX:

CONTAINING

RULES AND OBSERVATIONS,

FOR ASSISTING YOUNG PERSONS .

TO WRITE WITH

PERSPICUITY AND ACCURACY.

TO RE CTHRIDE

AFTER THEY HAVE ACQUIRED A COMPETENT KNOWLEDGE OF

ENGLISH GRAMMAR.

APPENDIX.

RULES AND OBSERVATIONS FOR PROMOTING PERSPI-CUITY AND ACCURACY IN WRITING.

PERSPICUITY is the fundamental quality of ftyle; a quality fo effential in every kind of writing, that for the want of it nothing can atone. It is not to be confidered as merely a fort of negative virtue, or freedom from defect. It has higher merit: it is a degree of positive beauty. We are pleased with an author, and consider him as deserving praise, who frees us from all satigue of searching for his meaning; who carries us through his subject without any embarrassment or consusion; whose style slows always like a limpid stream, through which we see to the very bottom.

The fludy of perspicuity and accuracy of expression confils of two parts; and requires attention, sirst, to Single Words and Phrases; and then, to the Construction of Sentences.

PART I.

Of Perspiculty and Accuracy of Expression, with respect to single Words and Phrases.

THESE qualities of ftyle, confidered with regard to words and phrases, require the following properties: PURITY, PROPRIETY, and PRECISION.

CHAPTER I.

Of PURITY.

Purity of flyle confifts in the use of such words, and such constructions, as belong to the idiom of the language which we speak; in opposition to words and phrases that are taken from other languages, or that are ungrammatical, obsolete, new-coined, or used without proper authority. All such words and phrases as the following, should be avoided: Quoth he; I wist not; erewhile; behest; selfsame; allestesse, for delicacy; politesse, for politeness; hauteur,

for haughtiness; incumberment, connexity, martyrifed, for encumbrance, connexion, martyred.

The introduction of foreign and learned words, unless where necessity requires them, should never be admitted into our composition. Barren languages may need such assistance, but ours is not one of these. A multisude of Latin words, in particular, have, of late, been poured in upon our language. On some occasions, they give an appearance of elevation and dignity to siyle; but they often render it siss and apparently forced. In general, a plain, native style, is not only more intelligible to all readers, but by a proper management of words, it can be made equally strong and expressive with this Latinised English, or any foreign idioms.

CHAPTER IL

Of Propriety.

Properety of language is the felection of fuch words as the best usage has appropriated to those ideas, which we intend to express by them; in opposition to low expressions, and to words and phrases which would be less signuicant of the ideas that we mean to convey. Style may be pure, that is, it may all be strictly English, without Scotticisms or Gallicisms, or ungrammatical irregular expressions of any kind, and may, nevertheless, be desicient in propriety: for the words may be ill chosen, not adapted to the subject, nor fully expressive of the author's sense.

To preferve propriety, therefore, in our words and phrases, we must avoid tox expressions; supply words that are wanting; be careful not to use the same word in different sensitions avoid the injudicious use of technical phrases, equivocal or ambiguous words, unintelligible expressions, and all suck words and phrases as are not adapted to our meaning.

- 1. Avoid low expressions: fuch as, "Topfy turvy, hurly burly, pellmell; having a month's mind for a thing; currying favour with a person; dancing attendance on the great," &c.
 - " Meantime the Britons, left to shift for themselves, were

forced to call in the Saxons for their defence." The phrase " left to shift for themselves," is a rather low phrase, and too much in the familiar style to be proper in a grave treatise.

2. Supply words that are wanting. "Arbitrary power I look upon as a greater evil than anarchy itself, as much as a savage is a happier state of life than a slave at the oar:" It should have been, "as much as the state of a savage is happier than that of a slave at the oar." "He has not treated this subject liberally, by the views of others as well as his own:" "By adverting to the views of others," would have been better. "This generous action greatly increased his former services:" it should have been, "greatly increased the merit of his former services." "By the pleasures of the imagination or sancy (which I shall use promiseuously) I here mean," &c. This passage ought to have had the word "terms" supplied, which would have made it correct: "terms which I shall use promiseuously."

It may be proper in this place to observe, that articles and prepositions are sometimes improperly omitted; as in the following inflances: "How immense the disserence between the pious and profane!" "Death is the common lot of all; of good men and bad." They should have had the article and preposition repeated: "How immense the disserence between the pious and the profane?" "Death is the common lot of all; of good men and of bad."

The repetition of articles and prepositions is proper, when we intend to point out the objects of which we speak, as distinguished from each other, or in contrast; and when we wish that the reader's attention should rest on that distinction: as, "Our sight is at once the most delightful, and the most useful of all our senses."

3. In the fame fentence, be careful not to use the same word too frequently, nor in different senses." "One may have an air which proceeds from a just sufficiency and knowledge of the matter before him, which may naturally produce some motions of his head and body, which might become the bench better than the bar."

The pronoun which is here thrice used, in such a manner as to throw obscurity over the sentence.

- "Gregory favoured the undertaking, for no other reason than this, that the manager, in countenance, favoured his friend." It should have been, "resembled his friend."
- "Charity expands our hearts in love to God and man: it is by the victue of charity that the rich are biefsed, and the poor supplied." In this sentence, the word "charity" is improperly used in two different senses; for the highest benevolence, and for almsgiving.
- 4. Ivoid the injudicious use of technical terms. To inform those who do not understand sea-phrases, that "We tacked to the larboard, and stood off to sea," would be expressing ourselves very obscurely. Technical phrases not being in current use, but only the peculiar dialect of a particular class, we should never use them but when we know they will be understood.
- 5. Avoid equivocal or ambiguous words. The following fentences are exceptionable in this respect. " As for such animals as are mortal or noxious, we have a right to defiroy them." "I have long fince learned to like nothing but what you do." "He aimed at nothing lefs than the crown," may denote either, " Nothing was less aimed at by him than the crown," or, "Nothing inferior to the crown could fatisfy his ambition." "I will have mercy, and not facrifice." The first part of this sentence, denotes "I will exercise mercy;" whereas it is in this place employed to fignify, "I require others to exercise it." The translation should therefore have been accommodated to these different meanings. "They were both much more ancient among the Persians, than Zoroaster or Zerdusht." The cr in this sentence is equivocal. It serves either as a copulative to fynonymous words, or as a disjunctive of different things. If, therefore, the findent should not know that Zoroaster and Zerdusht mean the same person, he will mistalte the sense. "The rising tomb a lofty column bore:" "And thus the son the servent sire address." Did the

tomb bear the column, or the column the tomb? Did the fon address the fire, or the fire the son?

6. Avoid unintelligible and inconfigure words or phrases, "I have observed," says Steele, "that the superiority among these cosseehouse politicians, proceeds from an opinion of gallantry and fashion." This sentence, considered in itself, evidently conveys no meaning. First, it is not said whose opinion, their own, or that of others: Secondly, it is not said what opinion, or of what fort; sayourable or unsavourable, true or salse, but in general, "an "opinion of gallantry and sashion," which contains no definite expression of any meaning. With the joint assistance of the context, reflection, and conjecture, we shall perhaps conclude that the author intended to say: "That the "rank among these politicians was determined by the opi-"nion generally entertained of the rank, in point of gal-"lantry and sashion, that each of them had attained."

"This temper of mind," tays an author, speaking of humility, "keeps our understanding tight about us." Whether the author had any meaning in this expression, or what it was, is not easy to determine.

Sometimes a writer runs on in a specious verbosity, amusing his reader with synonymous terms and identical propositions, well-turned periods, and high sounding words; but at the same time, using those words so indefinitely, that the reader can either assix no meaning at all to them, or may assix to them almost any meaning he pleases.

"If it is asked," says a late writer, "whence arises the harmony or beauty of language? what are the rules for obtaining it? the answer is obvious. Whatever renders a period sweet and pleasant, makes it also graceful. A good ear is the gift of nature; it may be much improved, but not acquired by art. Whoever is possessed of it, will scarcely need dry critical precepts to enable him to judge of a true rhythmus, and melody of composition. Just numbers, accurate proportions, a musical symphony, magnificent sigures, and that decoram which is the result of all these, are unison to the human mind."

The following is a poetical example of the same signature, in which there is scarcely a glimpse of meaning, tho' it was composed by an eminent poet.

From harmony, from heavenly harmony,
This universal frame began:
From harmony to harmony
Thro' all the compass of the notes it ran,
The diapason closing full in man.

In general it may be faid, that in writings of this flamp, we must accept of found instead of sense; being assured, that if we meet with little that can inform the judgment, we shall at least find nothing that will offend the ear. And perhaps this is one reason that we pass over such smooth language, without suspecting that it contains little or no meaning. In order to write or speak clearly and intelligibly, two things are especially requisite: one, that we have clear and diffinct ideas of our fubject; and the other, that our words be approved figns of those ideas. That persons who think consusedly, should express themselves obscurely, is not to be wondered at; for embarrassed, obfoure, and feeble fentences, are generally, if not always, the refult of embarrafsed, obfcure, and feeble thought: but that persons of judgment, who are accustomed to scrutinize their ideas, and the fignification of their words, thould fometimes write without any meaning, is, at first fight, matter of admiration. This, however, when further confidered, appears to be an effect derived from the fame caufe, indiffinctness of conception, and inattention to the exact import of words. The occasions on which we are most apt to speak and write in this unintelligible manner, are the three following.

The first is, where there is an exuberance of metaphor Writers who are fond of the metaphoric style, are generally disposed to continue it too long, and to pursue it too far. They are often missed by a desire of slourishing on the several properties of a metaphor which they have ushered into the discourse, without taking the trouble to examine whether there are any qualities in the subject, to which

these properties can, with justice and perspicuity, be applied. The following inflance of this fort of writing is from an author of confiderable eminence. " Men must acquire a very peculiar and firong habit of turning their view inward, in order to explore the interior regions and recesses of the mind, the hollow caverns of deep thought, the private feats of fancy, and the wastes and wildernesses, as well as the more fruitful and cultivated tracts of this obscure climate." A most wonderful way of telling us, that it is difficult to trace the operations of the mind. The author having determined to represent the human mind under the metaphor of a country, hath revolved in his thoughts the various objects which might be found in a country, without confidering whether there are any things in the mind properly analogous to these. Hence the strange parade he makes with regions and recesses, hollow caverns and private feats, wastes and wildernesses, fruitful and cultirated tracts; words which, though they have a precise meaning as applied to country, have no definite fignification as applied to mind.

The fecond occasion of our being apt to write unintelligibly, is that wherein the terms most frequently occurring, denote things which are of a complicated nature, and to which the mind is not sufficiently samiliarised. Of these the instances are numberless in every tongue; such as, Government, church, state, constitution, power, legislature, jurisdiction, &c.

The third and principal occasion of unintelligible writing, is when the terms employed are very abstract, and consequently of very extensive signification. Thus the word lion is more distinctly apprehended by the mind than the word beast, beast than animal, and animal than being.

The 7th and lastrule for preserving propriety in our words and phrases, is, to avoid all those which are not adapted to the ideas we mean to communicate; or which are less significant than others, of those ideas. "He seels any forrow that can arrive at man;" better "happen to man." "The conscience

of approving one's felf a benefactor, is the best recompense for being so:" it should have been "consciousness," He firmly believed the divine precept, "There is not a sparrow falls to the ground," &c. It should have been "doctrine."

"It is but opening the eye, and the scene enters." A scene cannot be said to enter; an actor enters; but a scene appears, or presents itself.

"We immediately assent to the beauty of an object, without inquiring into the causes of it:" it is proper to say, that we assent to the truth of a proposition; but it cannot so well be said, that we assent to the beauty of an object. Acknowledge would have expressed the sense with propriety.

"The fense of seeling, can, indeed, give us a notion of extension, shape, and all other ideas that enter at the eye, except colours." Extension and shape can, with no propriety, he called ideas; they are properties of matter. Neither is it accurate, to speak of any sense giving us a notion of ideas: our senses give us the ideas themselves. The meaning of the sentence would have been proper and much clearer, if the author had expressed himself thus: "The sense of seeling can, indeed, give us the idea of extension, sigure, and all the other properties of matter, which are perceived by the eye, except colours."

"The covetous man never has a fufficiency; although he has what is enough for nature," is much inferior to, "The covetous man never has enough; although he has what is flifficient for nature."

"A traveller observes the most striking objects he sees; a general remarks all the motions of his enemy; better thus; "A traveller remarks," &c.; "A general observes," &c.

"This measure enlarged his school, and obliged him to increase the buildings;" It should be "increased his school; and "enlarge the buildings."

"He applied a medicine before the poison had time to work;" hetter thus; "He applied an antidote." &c.

"The poison of a suspicious temper frequently throws out its bad qualities, on all who are within its reach;" better, "throws out its malignant qualities."

A felection of words and phrases, which are peculiarly expressive of the ideas we design to communicate; or which are as particular and determinate in their fignification, as is confident with the nature and the scope of the discourse; possesses great beauty, and cannot fail to produce a good effect.

CHAPTER III.

Of Percision.

Precision is the third requisite of perspicuity with respect to words and phrases. It signifies retrenching superfluities, and pruning the expression, so as to exhibit neither more nor less than an exact copy of the person's idea who uses it.

The words used to express ideas may be faulty in three respects. Iff, They may not express the idea which the author intends, but some other which only resembles or is a-kin to it; fecondly, They may express that idea, but not fully and completely; thirdly, They may express it, together with something more than is intended. Precision stands opposed to these three saults, but chiefly to the last. Propriety implies a freedom from the two former faults. The words which are used may be proper; that is, they may express the idea intended, and they may express it fully; but to be precise, signifies that they express that idea and no more.

The use and importance of precision may be deduced from the nature of the human mind. It never can view, clearly and diffinctly, more than one object at a time. If it must look at two or three together, especially objects that have resemblance or connexion, it finds itself consused and embarrassed. It cannot clearly perceive in what they agree, and in what they differ. Thus, were any object, suppose some animal, to be presented to my view, of whose structure I wanted to form a distinct notion, I would defire all its trappings to be taken off, I would require it to be brought before me by itfelf, and to stand alone, that there might be nothing to divide my attention. The same is the

cafe with words. If, when any one would inform me of his meaning, he also tell me more than what conveys it; if he join foreign circumstances to the principal object; if, by unnecessarily varying the expression, he shift the point of view, and make me fee fometimes the object itfelf, and fometimes another thing that is connected with it, he thereby obliges me to look on feveral objects at once, and I lofe fight of the principal. He loads the animal he is thowing me, with to many trappings and collars, that I cannot diffinctly view it; or he brings fo many of the fame species before me, femewhat refembling, and yet fomewhat differing, that I fee none of them clearly. When an author tells me of his hero's courage in the day of battle, the expreffion is precise, and I understand it fully: But if, from the defire of multiplying words, he thould praife his courage and fortitude; at the moment he joins thefe words together, my idea begins to waver. He means to express one quality more tirongly, but he is in truth expressing two: courage refitis danger; fortitude supports pain. The occation of exerting each of thefe qualities is different; and being led to think of both together, when only one of them fhould be confidered, my view is rendered unfleady, and my conception of the object indiffinct.

All hibjects do not equally require precision. It is sufficient, on many occasions, that we have a general view of the meaning. The subject, perhaps, is of the known and familiar kind, and we are in no hazard of mistaking the sense of the author, though every word which he uses be not precise and exact.

Many authors offend against this rule of precision. A considerable one, in describing a bad action, expresses himfels thus: "It is to remove a good and orderly assection, and to introduce an ill or disorderly one; to commit an action that is ill, immoral, and unjust; to do ill, or to act in prejudice of integrity, good nature, and worth."

A crowd of unmeaning or ufcless words is brought together by some authors, who, asraid of expressing themselves in a common and ordinary manner, and allured by an appearace of splendour, surround every thing which they mean to fay with a certain copious loquacity.

The great fource of a loofe ftyle, in opposition to precifion, is the injudicious use of the words termed fynonymous. They are called fynonymous, because they agree in expressing one principal idea; but, for the most part, if not always, they express it with some diversity in the circumstances.

The following inflances flow a difference in the meaning of words reputed fynonymous, and point out the use of attending with care and strictness, to the exact import of words.

Custom, habit.—Custom, respects the action; habit, the actor. By custom, we mean the frequent repetition of the same act; by habit, the effect which that repetition produces on the mind or body. By the custom of walking often in the streets, one acquires a habit of idleness.

Pride, vanity.—Pride makes us effect ourselves; vanity, makes us defire the effect of others. It is just to say, that a man is too proud to be vain.

Haughtiness, disidain.—Haughtiness, is sounded on the high opinion we entertain of ourselves; disidain, on the low opinion we have of others.

Only, alone.—Only, imports that there is no other of the fune kind; alone, imports being accompanied by no other. An only child, is one who has neither brother nor fifter; a child alone, is one who is left by itfelf. There is a difference, therefore, in precise language, between these two phrases: "Virtue only makes us happy;" and "Virtue alone makes us happy."

Wisdom, prudence.—Wisdom leads us to speak and act what is most proper. Prudence, prevents our speaking or acting improperly.

Entire, complete.—A thing is entire, by wanting none of its parts: complete, by wanting none of the appendages that belong to it. A man may have an entire house to himself, and yet not have one complete apartment.

Surprifed, aftonished, amazed, confounded.—I am surprifed

with what is new or unexpected; I am aftonished at what is vast or great; I am amazed at what is incomprehensible; I am consounded by what is shocking or terrible.

Tranquillity, peace, calm.—Tranquillity, respects a situation free from trouble, considered in itself; peace, the same situation with respect to any causes that might interrupt it; calm, with regard to a disturbed situation going before or following it. A good man enjoys tranquillity, in himself; peace, with others; and calm, after the storm.

These are some of the numerous instances of words, in our language, whose significations approach, but are not precisely the same. The more the distinction in the meaning of such words is attended to, the more clearly and sorcibly shall we speak or write. It may not, on all occasions, be necessary to pay a great deal of attention to very nice distinctions; yet the foregoing instances show the utility of some general care to understand the distinct import of our words.

While we are attending to precision, we must be on our guard, left, from the defire of pruning too closely, we retrench all copiousness. Scarcely in any language are there two words that convey precisely the same idea; a person thoroughly conversant in the propriety of the language, will always be able to observe something that distinguishes them. As they are like different shades of the same colour, an accurate writer can employ them to great advantage, by using them so as to heighten and complete the object which he presents to us. He supplies by one what was wanting in the other, to the firength, or to the finishing of the image which he means to exhibit. But, for this purpose, he must be attentive to the choice of his words, and not employ them carelefely, merely for the fake of filling up a period, or of rounding and diversifying his language, as if their fignification were exactly the fame, while in truth it is not. To unite copiousness and precision, to be full and eafy, and at the same time correct and exact in the choice of every word, is no doubt one of the highest and most difficult attainments in writing.

PART II.

Of Perspicuity and Accuracy of Expression with respect to the Construction of Sentences.

Sentences, in general, should neither be very long, nor very short: long ones require close attention to make us clearly perceive the connexion of the several parts; and short ones are apt to break the sense, and weaken the connexion of thought. Yet occasionally they may both be used with sorce and propriety; as may be seen in the sollowing sentences.

" If you look about you, and confider the lives of others as well as your own; if you think how few are born with honour, and how many die without name or children; how little beauty we fee, and how few friends we hear of; how much poverty, and how many difeases there are in the world; you will fall down upon your knees, and inflead of repining at one affliction, will admire fo many blessings which you have received from the Divine hand." This is a fentence composed of several members linked together, and hanging upon one another, fo that the fense of the whole is not brought out till the close. The following is an example of one in which the sense is formed into short, independent propositions, each complete within itself. "I confess, it was want of consideration that made me an author. I wrote, because it amused me. I corrected, because it was as pleasant to me to correct as to write. I published, because I was told I might please such as it was a credit to pleafe."

A long fuccession of either long or short sentences should be avoided; for the ear tires of either of them when too long continued. Whereas, by a proper mixture of long and short periods, not only the ear is gratified, but animation and sorce are given to our style.

We now proceed to consider the things most essential to an accurate and persect sentence. They appear to be the four following: 1. CLEARNESS. 2. UNITY. 3. STRENGTH.
4. A JUDICIOUS USE OF THE FIGURES OF SPEECH.

CHAPTER I.

Of the Clearness of a Sentence.

Purity, propriety, and precision, in words and phrases separately considered, have already been explained, and shown to be necessary to perspicuous and accurate writing. The just relation of sentences, and the parts of sentences, to one another, and the due arrangement of the whole, are the subjects which remain to be discussed.

THE FIRST requisite of a perfect sentence is Clearness.

Whatever leaves the mind in any fort of suspense as to the meaning, ought to be avoided. Obscurity arises from two causes; either from a wrong choice of words, or a wrong arrangement of them. The choice of words and phrases, as far as regards perspicuity, has been already considered. The disposition of them comes now under consideration.

The first thing to be studied here, is grammatical propriety. But as the grammar of our language is not comparatively extensive, there may be an obscure order of words, where there is no transgression of any grammatical rule. The relations of words, or members of a period, are, with us, ascertained only by the position in which they stand.

Hence a capital rule in the arrangement of fentences is, that the words or members, most nearly related, should be placed in the sentence as near to each other as possible, so as to make their mutual relation clearly appear. It will be proper to produce some instances, in order to show the importance of this rule.

1. In the position of adverbs. "The Romans understood liberty, at least, as well as we." These words are capable of two different senses, according as the emphasis, in reading them, is said upon liberty, or upon at least. The words should have been thus arranged: "The Romans understood liberty as well, at least, as we."

"Theism can only be opposed to polytheism, or atheism." Is it meant that theism is capable of nothing else besides being opposed to polytheism, or atheism? This is what the words literally import, through the wrong placing of the adverb only. It should have been, "Theism can be opposed only to polytheism, or atheism."

"I mean only fuch pleafures," it may be remarked, that the adverb only is not properly placed. It is not intended here to qualify the word mean, but fuch pleafures; and therefore should have been placed in as close connexion as possible with the word which it limits or qualifies. The style becomes more clear and neat, when the words are arranged thus: "By the pleafures of the imagination, I mean such pleafures only as arise from fight."

In the following sentence, the word more is not in its proper place. "There is not, perhaps, any real beauty or deformity more in one piece of matter than another." The phrase ought to have stood thus: "Beauty or deformity in one piece of matter more than in another."

2. In the position of circumstances, and of particular members.

An author, in his difsertation on parties, thus expresses himself: "Are these designs which any man, who is born a Briton, in any circumstances, in any situation, ought to be ashamed or asraid to avow?" Here we are lest at a loss, whether these words, "in any circumstances, in any situation," are connected with "a man born in Britain, in any circumstances or situation," or with that man's "avowing his designs in any circumstances or situation into which he may be brought." As it is probable that the latter was intended, the arrangement ought to have been conducted thus: "Are these designs which any man, who is born a Briton, ought to be ashamed or asraid, in any situation, in any circumstances, to avow."

The following is another inflance of a wrong arrangement

of circumstances. "A great stone that I happened to find, after a long search, by the sea-shore, served me for an anchor." One would think that the search was confined to the sea-shore; but as the meaning is, that the great stone was found by the sea-shore, the period ought to have run thus: "A great stone, that, after a long search, I happened to find by the sea-shore, served me for an anchor."

It is a rule, too, never to crowd many circumfiances together, but rather to intersperse them in different parts of the sentence, joined with the principal words on which they depend. For instance: "What I had the opportunity of mentioning to my friend, sometime ago, in conversation, was not a new thought." These two circumstances, "sometime ago," and "in conversation," which are here put together, would have had a better effect disjoined, thus: "What I had the opportunity, sometime ago, of mentioning to my friend, in conversation, was not a new thought."

Here follows an example of the wrong arrangement of a member of a fentence. "The minister of state who grows less by his elevation, like a little statue placed on a mighty pedestal, will always have his jealousy strong about him." Here, so far as can be gathered from the arrangement, it is doubtful whether the object introduced by way of simile relates to what goes before, or to what follows. The ambiguity is removed by the following order. "The minister of state who, like a little statue placed on a mighty pedestal, grows less by his elevation, will always," &c.

Words expressing things connected in the thought, ought to be placed as near together as possible, even when their feparation would convey no ambiguity. This will be seen in the following passages from Addison. "For the English are naturally funciful, and very often disposed, by that gloomines and melancholy of temper, which is so frequent in our nation, to many wild notions and extravagancies, to which others are not so liable." Here the verb or assertion is, by a pretty long circumstance, separated from the subject to which it refers. This might have been easily prevented, by placing the circumstance before the verb, thus: "For

the English are naturally fanciful, and, by that gloominess and melancholy of temper which is so frequent in our nation, are often disposed to many wild notions," &c.

"For as no mortal author, in the ordinary fate and vicisitude of things, knows to what use his works may, some time or other, be applied," &c. Better thus: "For as, in the ordinary sate and vicissitude of things, no mortal author knows to what use, some time or other, his works may be applied," &c.

From these examples, the following observation will occur: that a circumstance ought never to be placed between two capital members of a period; but either between the parts of the member to which it belongs, or in such a manner as will confine it to its proper member. When the sense admits it, the sooner a circumstance is introduced, generally speaking, the better, that the more important and significant words may possess the last place, quite disencumbered. The following sentence is, in this respect, saulty. "The Emperour was so intent on the establishment of his absolute power in Hungary, that he exposed the empire doubly to desolation and ruin for the sake of it." Better thus: "That, for the sake of it, he exposed the empire doubly to desolation and ruin."

This appears to be a proper place to observe, that when different things have an obvious relation to each other, in respect to the order of nature or time, that order should be regarded, in assigning them their places in the sentence; unless the scope of the passage require it to be varied. The conclusion of the following lines is inaccurate in this respect. "But still there will be such a mixture of delight, as is proportioned to the degree in which any one of these qualifications is most conspicuous and prevailing." The order in which the two last words are placed, should have been reversed, and made to stand, prevailing and conspicuous.—They are conspicuous, because they prevail.

The following fentence is a beautiful example of firict conformity to the rule above mentioned. "Our fight fills the mind with the largest variety of ideas, converses with

its objects at the greatest distance, and continues the longest in action, without being tired or satiated with its proper enjoyments." This passage follows the order of nature. First, we have the variety of objects mentioned, which sight furnishes to the mind; next, we have the action of sight on those objects; and lastly, we have the time and continuance of its action. No order could be more natural or exact.

The order which we now recommend, is in fingle words especially, frequently violated, for the sake of better found; but, perhaps, in no instances, without a deviation from the line of strict propriety.

3. In the disposition of the relative pronouns, who, which, what, whose, and of all those particles which express the connexion of the parts of speech with one another.

A finall error in the position of these words may cloud the meaning of the whole sentence; and even where the meaning is intelligible, we always find something awkward and disjointed in the structure of the sentence, when these relatives are out of their proper place. "This kind of wit," says an author, "was very much in vogue among our countrymen, about an age or two ago, who did not practise it for any oblique reason, but purely for the sake of being witty." We are at no loss about the meaning here; but the construction would evidently be mended by disposing of the circumstance, "about an age or two ago," in such a manner as not to separate the relative who from its antecedent our countrymen; in this way: "About an age or two ago, this kind of wit was very much in vogue among our countrymen, who did not practise it," &c.

The following passage is fill more censurable. "It is folly to pretend to arm ourselves against the accidents of life, by heaping up treasures, which nothing can protect us against, but the good providence of our Creator." Which always refers grammatically to the substantive immediately preceding; and that, in the instance just mentioned, is "treasures." The sentence ought to have stood thus: "It is folly to pretend, by heaping up treasures, to arm

ourselves against the accidents of life, which nothing can protect us against." &c.

With regard to relatives, it may be farther observed, that obscurity often arises from the too frequent repetition of them, particularly of the pronouns who and they, and them and theirs, when we have occasion to refer to different persons; as in the following sentence of Tillotson. "Men look with an evil eye upon the good that is in others, and think that their reputation obscures them, and their commendable qualities stand in their light; and therefore they do what they can to cast a cloud over them, that the bright shining of their virtues may not obscure them." This is altogether careless writing. When we find these personal pronouns crowding too fast upon us, we have often no method lest, but to throw the whole sentence into some other form, which may avoid those frequent references to persons who have before been mentioned.

To have the relation of every word and member of a fentence marked in the most proper and distinct manner, not only gives clearness to it, but makes the mind pass smoothly and agreeably along all the parts of it.

CHAPTER II.

Of the Unity of a Sentence.

The second requisite of a perfect sentence is its Unity. In every composition, there is always some connecting principle among the parts. Some one object must reign and be predominant. But most of all, in a single sentence, is required the strictest unity. For the very nature of a sentence implies that one proposition is expressed. It may consist of parts, indeed, but these parts must be so closely bound together, as to make the impression upon the mind, of one object, not of many. To preserve this unity of a sentence, the following rules must be observed.

1. In the first place, During the course of the sentence, the scene should be changed as little as possible. We should not be hurried by sudden transitions from person to person, nor

from subject to subject. There is commonly, in every sentence, some person or thing which is the governing word. This should be continued so, if possible, from the beginning to the end of it.

The following fentence varies from this rule. "After we came to anchor, they put me on shore, where I was welcomed by all my friends, who received me with the greatest kindness." In this sentence, though the objects contained in it have a sufficient connexion with each other, yet, by this manner of representing them, by shifting so often both the place and the person, we and they, and I and who, they appear in such a distunited view, that the sense of connexion is much impaired. The sentence is restored to its proper unity, by turning it after the following manner. "Having come to an anchor, I was put on shore, where I was welcomed by all my friends, and received with the greatest kindness."

Here follows another inflance of departure from the rule. "The fultan being dangeroufly wounded, they carried him to his tent; and, upon hearing of the defeat of his troops, they put him into a litter, which transported him to a place of safety, at the distance of about tisteen leagues." Better thus: "The Sultan being dangerously wounded, was carried to his tent; and, on hearing of the defeat of his troops, put into a litter, and transported to a place of safety about sisteen leagues distant."

A second rule under the head of unity, is, Never to crowd into one sentence, things which have so little connexion, that they could bear to be divided into two or three sentences.

The violation of this rule tends fo much to perplex and obscure, that it is safer to err by too many short sentences, than by one that is overloaded and embarrassed. Examples abound in authors. "Archbishop Tillotson," says an author, "died in this year. He was exceedingly beloved by King William and Queen Mary, who nominated Dr. Tennison, Bishop of Lincoln, to succeed him." Who would expect the latter part of this sentence to follow in conse-

quence of the former? "He was exceedingly beloved by both king and queen," is the proposition of the sentence. We look for some proof of this, or at least something related to it to follow; when we are on a sudden carried off to a new proposition.

The following fentence is still worse. The author, speaking of the Greeks under Alexander, says: "Their march was through an uncultivated country, whose savage inhabitants fared hardly, having no other riches than a breed of lean sheep, whose slesh was rank and unsavoury, by reason of their continual feeding upon sea-sish." Here the scene is changed upon us again and again. The march of the Greeks, the description of the inhabitants through whose country they travelled, the account of their sheep, and the cause of their sheep being ill-tasted food, form a jumble of objects, slightly related to each other, which the reader cannot, without much difficulty, comprehend under one view.

These examples have been taken from sentences of no great length, yet over-crowded. Writers who deal in long fentences, are very apt to be faulty in this article. Take, for an instance, the following from Temple. "The usual acceptation takes profit and pleasure for two different things, and not only calls the followers or votaries of them by the several names of bufy and idle men; but distinguishes the faculties of the mind, that are conversant about them, calling the operations of the first, Wisdom; and of the other, Wit; which is a Saxon word, used to express what the Spaniards and Italians call Ingenio, and the French Esprit, both from the Latin; though I think wit more particularly fignifies that of poetry, as may occur in remarks on the Runic language." When one arrives at the end of fuch a puzzled sentence, he is surprised to find himself got to so great a distance from the object with which he at first fet out.

Long, involved, and intricate fentences, are great blemilhes in composition. In writers of considerable correctness, we find a period sometimes running out so far, and comprehending so many particulars, as to be more properly a dis-

course than a sentence. An author, speaking of the progress of our language after the time of Cromwell, runs on in this manner: "To this fucceeded that licentioufness which entered with the Refforation, and, from infecting our religion and morals, fell to corrupt our language; which laft was not like to be much improved by those who at that time made up the court of King Charles the Second; cither such as had followed him in his banishment, or who had been altogether converfant in the dialect of thefe times, or young men who had been educated in the fame country: fo that the court which used to be the standard of correctness and propriety of speech, was then, and I think has ever since continued, the worst school in England for that accomplishment; and so will remain, till better care be taken in the education of our nobility, that they may fet out into the world with fome foundation of literature, in order to qualify them for patterns of politeness."

The author, in place of a fentence, has here given a loofe difsertation upon feveral fubjects. How many different facts, reasonings, and observations, are here presented to the mind at once! and yet so linked together by the author, that they all make parts of a fentence, which admits of no greater division in pointing, than a colon between any of its members.

It may be of use here to give a specimen of a long sentence, broken down into several periods; by which we shall more clearly perceive the disadvantages of long sentences, and how easily they may be amended. Here sollows the sentence in its original form.

"Though in yellerday's paper we showed now every thing that is great, new, or beautiful, is apt to affect the imagination with pleasure, we must own, that it is impossible for us to assign the necessary cause of this pleasure, because we know neither the nature of an idea, nor the subtance of a human foul; and therefore, for want of such a light, all that we can do, in speculations of this kind, is to reflect on those operations of the soul that are most agreeable; and to range, under their proper heads, what is please

ing or displeasing to the mind, without being able to trace out the feveral necefuary and efficient causes, from whence the pleafure or displeasure arises."

The following amendment, besides breaking down the period into feveral fentences, exhibits fome other afeful alterations.

"In yesterday's paper, we have shown that every thing which is great, new, or beautiful, is apt to affect the imagination with pleasure. We must own, that it is impossible for us to assign the efficient cause of this pleasure, because we know not the nature either of an idea, or of the human foul. All that we can do, therefore, in speculations of this kind, is to reflect on the operations of the foul which are most agreeable, and to range under proper heads what is pleafing or displeasing to the mind."

A third rule for preferving the unity of fentences, is, to teep clear of all unnecefsary parenthefes.

On fome occasions, when the fense is not too long sufpended by them, and when they are introduced in a proper place, they may add both to the vivacity and to the energy of the fentence. But for the most part their effect is extremely bad; being a fort of wheels within wheels, fentences in the midft of fentences, the perplexed method of difposing of some thought, which a writer wants judgment to introduce in its proper place.

The parenthesis in this sentence, is striking and proper:

- "And was the ransome paid? It was; and paid
- " (What can exalt the bounty more?) for thee."

But in the following fentence, we become fentible of an impropriety in the use of it. "If your hearts secretly reproach you for the wrong choice you have made, (as there is time for repentance and retreat; and a return to wisdom is always honourable,) bethink yourfelves that the evil is not irreparable." It would be much better to expreß in a separate sentence, the thoughts contained in this parenthesis; thus: " If your hearts fecretly reproach you for the wrong choice you have made, bethink yourselves that the evil is not irreparable. Still there is time for repentance and retreat; and a return to wisdom is always honourable."

CHAPTER III.

Of the Strength of a Sentence.

THE THIRD requisite of a perfect sentence, is, Strength.

By this is meant fuch a disposition and management of the several words and members, as shall bring out the sense to the best advantage, and give every word, and every member, its due weight and sorce.

A fentence may be clear, it may also be compact in all its parts, or have the requisite unity, and yet, by some circumstance in the structure, it may fail in that strength of impression, which a better management would have produced.

The first rule for promoting the strength of a sentence, is, to prune it of all redundant words and members.

It is a general maxim, that any words which do not add fome importance to the meaning of a fentence, always injure it. Care should therefore be exercised with respect to synonymous words, expletives, circumlocutions, tautologies, and the expression of unnecessary circumstances. The attention becomes remiss, when words are multiplied without a corresponding multiplication of ideas. "Content with descripting a triumph, he resused the honour of it;" is better language than to say, "Being content with deferving," &c.

"In the Attic commonwealth," fays an author, "it was the privilege and birthright of every citizen and poet, to rail aloud and in public." Better fimply thus: "In the Attic commonwealth, it was the privilege of every citizen to rail in public."

Another expresses himself thus: "They returned back again to the same city from whence they came forth;" instead of, "They returned to the city whence they came." The five words, back, again, same, from, and sorth, are mere expletives, that have neither use nor beauty, and are therefore to be regarded as encumbrances.

"I am honefly, feriously, and unalterably of opinion, that nothing can possibly be more incurably and emphatically desiructive, or more decisively satal, to a kingdom, than the introduction of thoughtless dissipation, and the pomp of lazy luxury." Would not the sull import of this noisy sentence be better expressed thus: "I am of opinion, that nothing is more ruinous to a kingdom, than luxury and dissipation?"

Some writers use much circumlocution in expressing their ideas. A considerable one, for so very simple a thing as a man's wounding himself, says, "To mangle, or wound, his outward form and constitution, his natural limbs or body."

But, on some occasions, circumlocation has a peculiar force; as in the sollowing sentence: "Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right?"

In the fentences which follow, the ill effects of tautology appear.

- "So it is, that I must be forced to get home, partly by siealth, and partly by force."
- "Never did Atticus succeed better in gaining the unirersal love and esteem of all men."

The subsequent sentence contains several unnecessary circumstances. "On receiving this information, he arose, went out, saddled his horse, mounted him, and rode to town." All is implied in saying, "On receiving this information, he rode to town."

This manner, however, in a certain degree, is so strongly characteristic of the simple style of remote ages, that in books of the highest antiquity, particularly the Bible, it is not at all ungraceful. Of this kind are the following scriptural phrases. "He listed up his voice, and wept." "He opened his mouth, and said." It is true, that, in strictness, they are not necessary to the narration, but they are of some importance to the composition, as bearing the venerable signature of ancient simplicity. It may, on this occasion, be surther observed, that the language of the present translation of the Bible ought not to be viewed in an exceptionable light, though some parts of it may appear to

be obfolete From univerfal admission, this language has become so familiar and intelligible, that in all transcripts and allusions, except where the sense is evidently injured, it ought to be carefully preserved. And it may also be justly remarked, that, on religious subjects, a frequent recurrence of scripture-language is attended with peculiar sorce and propriety.

Though it promotes the firength of a fentence, to contract a round about method of expression, and to lop of useless excrescences, yet we should avoid the extreme of pruning too closely: some leaves should be left to shelter and surround the fruit. Even synonymous expressions may on some occasions be used with propriety. One is, when an obscurer term, which we cannot well avoid employing, needs to be explained by one that is clearer. The other is, when the language of the emotions is exhibited. Emotion naturally dwells on its object: and when the reader also feels interested, repetition and synonomy have frequently an agreeable effect.

The following passage, taken from Addison, who delighted in a full and flowing ftyle, may, by fome perfons, be deemed not very exceptionable. "But there is nothing that makes its way more directly to the foul than beauty, which immediately diffuses a secret satisfaction and complacency through the imagination, and gives a finithing to any thing that is great or uncommon. The very first difcovery of it firikes the mind with inward joy, and fpreads a cheerfulness and delight through all its faculties." Some degree of verbofity may be difcovered in these sentences, as phrases are repeated which seem little more than the echo of one another; such as—dissufing satisfaction and complacency through the imagination—firiking the mind with inward joy-spreading cheerfulness and delight through all its faculties. But, perhaps, some redundancy is more allowable on fuch lively fubjects, than it would be on some other occasions.

After removing superfluities, the second rule for promoting the strength of a sentence, is, to attend particularly to the

use of copulatives, relatives, and all the particles employed for transition and connexion.

These little words but, and, or, which, whose, where, then, thersfore, because, &c. are frequently the most important words of any; they are the joints or hinges upon which all sentences turn, and, of course, much of their sirength must depend upon such particles. The varieties in using them are, indeed, so many, that no particular system of rules respecting them can be given. Some observations, tending to illustrate the rule, may, however, be mentioned.

What is called splitting particles, or separating a preposition from the noun which it governs, is to be avoided. As if I should say, "Though virtue borrows no assistance from, yet it may often be accompanied by, the advantages of sortune." Here, we are put to a stand in thought, being obliged to rest a little on the preposition by itself, which, at the same time, carries no significancy, till it is joined to its proper substantive.

Some writers needlessly multiply demonstrative and relative particles, by the frequent use of such phraseology as this: "There is nothing which disgusts us sooner than the empty pomp of language." In introducing a subject, or laying down a proposition, to which we demand particular attention, this fort of siyle is very proper; but, on common occasions, it is better to express ourselves more simply and shortly: "Nothing disgusts us sooner than the empty pomp of language."

Other writers make a practice of omitting the relative, where they think the meaning can be underflood without it: as, "The man I love;" "The dominions we possessed, and the conquests we made." But though this elliptical style is intelligible, and is allowable in conversation and epitiolary writing, yet, in all writings of a serious and dignified kind, it ought to be avoided. There, the relative should always be inserted in its proper place, and the construction silled up. "The man whom I love." "The dominions which we possessed, and the conquest which we made."

With regard to the copulative particle and, which occurs so frequently in all kinds of composition, several obfervations are to be made. First, It is evident, that the unnecessary repetition of it enseebles style. The following fentence, from Temple, will ferve for an inflance. He is speaking of the refinement of the French language: "The academy fet up by Cardinal Richelieu, to amuse the wits of that age and country, and divert them from raking into his politics and ministry, brought this into vogue; and the French wits have, for this last age, been wholly turned to the refinement of their flyle and language; and, indeed, with fuch fuccefs, that it can hardly be equalled, and runs equally through their verse and their prose." Here are no fewer than eight ands in one sentence. Some writers often make their fentences drag in this manner, by a careless multiplication of copulatives.

But, in the next place, it is worthy of observation, that though the natural use of the conjunction and is to join objects together, yet, in fact, by dropping the conjunction, we often mark a closer connexion, a quicker succession of objects, than when it is inserted between them. "I came, I saw, I conquered," expresses with more force the rapidity and quick succession of conquest, than if connecting particles had been used. See Exodus, xv. 10.

On the other hand, when we feek to prevent a quick transition from one object to another, when we are making fome enumeration, in which we wish that the objects should appear as distinct from each other as possible, and that the mind should rest, for a moment, on each object by itself, copulatives may be multiplied with peculiar advantage. As when an author says, "Such a man might fall a victim to power; but truth, and reason, and liberty, would fall with him." Observe, in the sollowing enumeration made by the Aposile Paul, what additional weight and distinctness are given to each particular, by the repetition of a conjunction." "I am persuaded that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God."

The words designed to mark the transition from one seutence to another, and the connexion between sentences, are sometimes very incorrect, and perform their office in an imperfect and obscure manner. The following is an example of this kind of inaccuracy. "By greatness, I do not mean the bulk of any fingle object only, but the largeness of a whole view. Such are the prospects of an open champaign country, a vast uncultivated desert," &c. The word such fignifies of that nature or quality, which necessarily presupposes some adjective, or word descriptive of a quality going before, to which it refers. But, in the foregoing sentence, there is no such adjective. The author had spoken of greatness in the abstract only; and, therefore, fuch has no distinct antecedent to which we can refer it. The fentence would have been introduced with more propriety, by faying, To this class belong, or under this head are ranged, the prospects, &c.

As connective particles are the hinges, tacks, and pins, by which the words in the same clause, the clauses in the same member, the members in the same sentence, and even the sentences in the same discourse, are united together, and their relations suggested, so they should not be either too frequently repeated, awkwardly exposed to view, or made up of polysyllables, when shorter would as well convey our meaning. Notailistanding that, informach that, foresmuch as, furthermore, &c. are tedious words, which tend to overload and perplex a sentence.

We shall conclude this head with two remarks on the subject of inserting or omitting the conjunctions. The strikes, that the illative conjunctions, the causal, and the disjunctive, when they suit the sense, can more rarely be dispensed with than the copulative. The second is, that the omission of copulatives always succeeds best, when the connexion of the thoughts is either very close or very distant. It is mostly in the intermediate cases that the conjunction is deemed necessary. When the connexion in thought is very distant, the copulative appears absurd; and when very close, superstuous.

268 APPENDIX.

The third rule for promoting the strength of a sentence, is, to dispose of the capital word, or words, so that they may make the greatest impression.

That there are, in every fentence, fuch capital words, on which the meaning principally refts, every one must fee; and that these words should possess a conspicuous and diffinguished place, is equally plain. For the most part, with us, the important words are placed in the beginning of the fentence. So in the following passages: "Silver and gold have I none; but such as I have, give I unto thee," &c. "Your fathers, where are they? and the · prophets, do they live for ever?"

Sometimes, however, when we intend to give weight to a sentence, it is of advantage to suspend the meaning for a little, and then bring it out full at the close. "Thus," fays an author, "on whatever fide we contemplate this ancient writer, what principally firikes us, is his wonderful invention."

To accomplish this end, the placing of capital words in a confpicuous part of the fentence, the natural order of our language must sometimes be inverted. According to this natural order, the nominative has the first place, the verb the fecond, and the objective, if it be an active verb that is employed, has the third. Circumtiances follow the nominative, the verb, or the objective, as they happen to belong to any of them. "Diana of the Ephefians is great," is the natural order of the fentence. But its ftrength is increafed by inversion, thus: " Great is Diana of the Ephcfians." "I profess, in the fincerity of my heart," &c. is the natural order of a circumflance. Inverted, thus: "In the fincerity of my heart, I profess," &c.

Some authors greatly invert the natural order of fentences; others write mostly in a natural style. Each method has its advantages. The inverted, possesses sirength, dignity, and variety: the other, more nature, ease, and fimplicity. We shall give an instance of each method, taken from writers of confiderable eminence. The first is of the inverted order. The author is speaking of the mifery of vice. "This, as to the complete immoral flate,

is, what of their own accord, men readily remark. Where there is this absolute degeneracy, this total apostacy from all candour, truth, or equity, there are few who do not see and acknowledge the misery which is consequent. Soldom is the case misconstrued, when at worst. The missortune is, that we look not on this depravity, nor consider how it stands, in less degrees. As is, to be absolutely moral, were, indeed, the greatest misery; but to be so in a little degree, should be no misery or harm at all. Which, to allow, is just as reasonable as to own, that it is the greatest ill of a body to be in the utmost manner mainted or distorted, but that to lose the use only of one limb, or to be impaired in some single organ or member, is no ill worthy the least notice." Here is no violence done to the language, though there are many inversions.

The following is an example of natural confiruction. "Our fight is the most perfect, and most delightful of all our fenses. It fills the mind with the largest variety of ideas, converses with its objects at the greatest distance, and continues the longest in action, without being tired, or satisfied with its proper enjoyments. The sense of seeling can, indeed, give us a notion of extension, shape, and all other ideas that enter at the eye, except colours; but at the same time, it is very much straitened and confined in its operations," &c.

But, whether we use inversion or not, and in whatever part of the sentence we dispose of the capital words, it is always a point of consequence, that these capital words should stand clear and disentangled from any other words that would clog them. Thus, when there are any circumstances of time, place, or other limitations, which the principal object of our sentence requires to have connected with it, we must take care to dispose of them, so as not to cloud that principal object, nor to bury it under a load of circumstances. This will be made clearer by an example. "If, whilst they profess only to please, they secretly advise, and give instruction, they may now, perhaps, as well as formerly, be essented, with justice, the best and most ho-

honourable among authors." This is a well constructed sentence. It contains a great many circumstances and adverbs, necessary to qualify the meaning; only, secretly, as well, perhaps, now, with justice, formerly; yet these are placed so properly, as neither to embarrass, nor weaken the sentence; while that which is the capital object in it, viz. "being justly esteemed the best and most honourable among authors," comes out in the conclusion clear and detached, and possesses its proper place. See, now, what would have been the effect of a different arrangement: "If, whilst they profess to please only, they advise and give instruction secretly, they may be esteemed the best and most honourable among authors, with justice, perhaps, now as well as formerly." Here we have precifely the same words, and the same sense; but, by means of the circumstances being so intermingled as to clog the capital words, the whole becomes feeble and perplexed.

The fourth rule for promoting the strength of sentences, is, that a weaker assertion or proposition should never come after a stronger one; and that, when our sentence consists of two members, the longer should, generally, be the concluding one.

Thus: to fay, "When our passions have for faken us, we flatter ourselves with the belief that we have for faken them," is both more easy and more clear, than to begin with the longer part of the proposition: "We flatter ourselves with the belief that we have for faken our passions, when they have for faken us."

In general, it is agreeable to find a fentence rifing upon us, and growing in its importance to the very last word, when this construction can be managed without affectation. "If we rife yet higher," fays Addison, "and consider the fixed stars as so many oceans of stame, that are each of them attended with a different set of planets; and still discover new firmaments and new lights, that are sunk farther in those unsathomable depths of ather; we are lost in such a labyrinth of suns and worlds, and conseunded with the magnificence and immensity of nature."

The fifth rule for the strength of sentences, is, to avoid concluding them with an adverb, a proposition, or any incon-jiderable word.

Agreeably to this rule, we should not conclude with any of the particles, of, to, from, with, by. For instance, it is a great deal better to fay, "Avarice is a crime of which wise men are often guilty," than to fay, "Avarice is a crime which wife men are often guilty of." This is a phraseology which all correct writers thun; and with reason. For as the mind cannot avoid resting a little, on the import of the word which closes the sentence, it must be disagreeable to be left pausing on a word, which does not, by itself, produce any idea.

For the same reason, verbs which are used in a compound feafe, with fome of thefe prepolitions, are, though not to bad, yet fill not to proper concludons of a period; fuch as, bring about, lay hold of, come over to, clear up, and many other of this kind: instead of which, if we can employ a fimple verb, it always terminates the fentence with more strength. Even the pronoun it should, if possible, be avoided in the conclusion; more especially when it is joined with some of the prepositions; as, with it, in it, to it. We shall be sensible of this in the sollowing sentence. "There is not, in my opinion, a more pleasing and triumphant confideration in religion, than this, of the perpetual progress which the soul makes towards the perfection of its nature, without ever arriving at a period in it." How much more agreeable the fentence, if it had been for constructed as to close with the word period!

Besides particles and pronouns, any phrase, which expresses a circumstance only, always appears badly in the rear of a sentence. We may judge of this by the following passage: "Let me therefore conclude by repeating, that division has caused all the mischief we lament; that union alone can retrieve it; and that a great advance towards this union, was the coalition of parties, so happily begun, so successfully carried on, and of late so unaccountably neglected; to say no worse." This last phrase, "to say no

worse," occasions a falling off at the end. The proper disposition of such circumstances in a sentence, requires attention, in order to adjust them so as shall consist equally with the perspicuity and the strength of the period. Though necessary parts, they are, however, like irregular stones in a building, which try the skill of an artist, where to place them with the least offence. But it must be remembered, that the close is always an unfuitable place for them. Notwithstanding what has been said against concluding a period with an adverb, &c. this must not be underliood to refer to such words, when the stress and signisicancy of the fentence refl chiefly upon them. In this cafe, they are not to be confidered as circumstances, but as the principal objects; as in the following sentence. "In their profperity, my friends shall never hear of me; in their adverfity, always." Here, " never" and "always" being emphatical words, were to be so placed as to make a Alrong impression.

The fix/h rule relating to the strength of a sentence, is, that, in the members of a sentence, where two things are compared or contrasted with one another; where either a resemblance or an opposition is intended to be expressed; Some resemblance, in the language and construction, should be preserved. For when the things themselves correspond to each other, we naturally expect to find a fimilar correspondence in the words.

Thus: When it is faid, "The wife man is happy when he gains his own approbation; the fool, when he recommends himself to the applause of those about him;" the opposition would have been more complete, if it had been expressed thus: "The wife man is happy when he gains his own approbation; the fool, when he gains that of others."

"A friend exaggerates a man's virtues; an enemy inflames his crimes." Better thus: "A friend exaggerates a man's virtues; an enemy, his crimes."

The following passage from Pope's Presace to his Homer, fully exemplifies the rule just given: "Homer was the greater genius; Virgil, the better artist: in the one, we

most admire the man; in the other, the work. Homer hurries us with a commanding impetuofity; Virgil leads us with an attractive majefiy. Homer scatters with a generous profution; Virgil bestows with a careful magnificence. Homer, like the Nile, pours out his riches with a fudden overflow; Virgil, like a river in its banks, with a constant fiream."—Periods thus constructed, when introduced with propriety, and not returning too often, have a fentible beauty. But we must beware of carrying our attention to this beauty too far. It ought only to be occasionally fludied, when comparison or opposition of objects naturally leads to it. If fuch a confiruction as this be aimed at in all our fentences, it leads to a difagreeable uniformity; produces a regularly returning clink in the period, which tires the ear; and plainly discovers affectation.

The feventh rule for promoting the strength and effect of fentences, is, to attend to the harmony and eafy flow of the words and members.

Sound is a quality much inferior to fense; yet such as must not be disregarded. For, as long as sounds are the vehicle of conveyance for our ideas, there will be a very confiderable connexion between the idea which is conveyed, and the nature of the found which conveys it. Pleasing ideas, and forcible reasoning, can hardly be transmitted to the mind, by means of harth and disagreeable founds. The observations which we have to make on this subject, respect the choice of words; their arrangement; the order and disposition of the members; and the cadence or close of fentences.

We begin with the choice of words. It is evident, that: words are most agreeable to the ear, when they are composed of smooth and liquid sounds, in which there is a proper intermixture of vowels and consonants; without too many harth confonants rubbing against each other; or too many open vowels in succession, to cause a hiatus, or difagreeable aperture of the mouth.

It may always be assumed as a principle, that whatever

founds are difficult in pronunciation, are, in the same proportion, harsh and painful to the ear. Vowels give softness; consonants, strength to the sound of words. The melody of language requires a just proportion of each; and it will be hurt, be rendered either grating or esseminate, by an excess of either. Long words are commonly more agreeable to the ear than monosyllables. They please it by the composition, or succession of sounds which they present to it; and, accordingly, the most harmonious languages abound most in them. Among words of any length, those are the most melodious, which do not run wholly either upon long or short syllables, but are composed of an intermixture of them: such as, repent, produce, wonderful, velocity, celerity, independent, impetuosity.

If we would speak forcibly and effectually, we must avoid the use of such words as the following: 1. Such as are composed of words already compounded, the several parts of which are not easily, and therefore not closely united: as, "Unfaccefsfulnefs, wrongheadednefs, tenderheartednefs;" 2. Such as have the fyllables which immediately follow the accented fyllable, crowded with confonants that do not eafily coaleice; as, "Questionless, chroniclers, conventiclers:" 3. Such as have too many fyllables following the accented fyllable: as, "Primarily, curforily, funumarily, peremptoriness:" 4. Such as have a short or unaccented fyllable repeated, or followed by another short or unaccented fyllable very much refembling: as, Holi'y, fillily, lowlily, farriering. A little hardnness, by the collision of confonants, which, nevertheless, our organs find no difficulty in articulating, and which do not suggest to the hearer the disagreeable idea either of precipitation or of flammering, is by no means a sufficient reason for suppressing a useful term. The words hedg'd, fledg'd, wedg'd, drudg'd, grudg'd, adjudg'd, which some have thought very offensive, are not expoted to the objections which lie against the words above mentioned. We should not do well to introduce fuch hard and firong founds too frequently; but when they are used sparingly and properly, they have even

a good effect. They contribute to that variety in found which is advantageous to language.

The next head, respecting the harmony which results from a proper arrangement of words, is a point of greater nicety. For, let the words themselves be ever so well chosen, and well founding, yet, if they be ill disposed, the melody of the fentence is utterly loft, or greatly impaired. That this is the case, the learners will perceive by the following examples. "Pleasures simple and moderate always are the best: it would be better to say, " Simple and moderate pleafures are always the best." "Office or rank may be the recompense of intrigue, versatility, or slattery;" better thus, "Rank or office may be the recompense of flattery, verfatility, or intrigue." "A great recommendation of the guidance offered by integrity to us, is, that it is by all men easily understood:" better in this form; "It is a great recommendation of the guidance offered to us by integrity, that it is eafily understood by all men." In the following examples, the words are neither felected, nor arranged, fo as to produce the most agreeable effect. "If we make the best of our life, it is but as a pilgrimage, with dangers surrounding it;" better thus, "Our life, at the best, is a pilgrimage, and dangers furround it." "We see that we are encumbered with difficulties, which we cannot prevent:" better, " We perceive ourselves involved in dissiculties that cannot be avoided." "It is plain to any one who views the subject, even slightly, that there is nothing here that is without allay and pure:" improved by this form; "It is evident to the flightest inspection, that nothing here is unallayed and pure."

We may take, for an instance of a sentence remarkably harmonious, the following from Milton's Treatife on Education: "We shall conduct you to a hill-side, laborious, indeed, at the first ascent; but else so smooth, so green, so sulf of goodly prospects, and melodious sounds on every side, that the harp of Orpheus was not more charming." Every thing in this fentence conspires to promote the harmony. The words are well choien; full of liquids and fost sounds;

laborious, fmooth, green, goodly, melodious, charming; and these words so artfully arranged, that, were we to alter the situation of any one of them, we should, presently, be sensible of the melody's suffering.

To promote this harmonious arrangement of words, the following general directions will be found of some use. 1st, When the preceding word ends with a vowel, let the subsequent one begin with a consonant; and vicê versa. A true friend, a cruel enemy, are smoother and easier to the voice, than, a true union, a cruel destroyer. But when it is more perspicuous or convenient, for vowels or consonants to end one word and begin the next, it is proper that the vowels be a long and a short one; and that the consonants be either a liquid and a mute, or liquids of different forts: thus, a lovely offspring; a purer design; a calm retreat; are more fluent than, a happy union, a brief petition, a cheap triumph, a putrid distemper, a calm matron, a clean nurse. From these examples, the student will perceive the importance of accurately understanding the nature of vowels and confonants, liquids and mutes; with the connexion and influence which fublift amongst them. 2d, In general, a confiderable number of long or fhort words near one another, should be avoided. "Disappointment in our expectations is wretchedness:" better thus; "Disappointed hope is mifery." "No course of joy can please us long;" better, "No course of enjoyment can delight us long." A succession of words having the same accent on their syllables, whether it be long or short, should also be avoided. "James was needy, feeble, and fearful:" improved thus; "James was timid, feeble, and defittute." "They could not be happy; for he was filly, pettish, and fullen;" better thus; "They could not be happy; for he was simple, peevish, and gloomy." 3d, Words which begin alike, or end alike, must not come together; and the last syllable of the preceding word, should not be the same as the first syllable of the subsequent one. It is not so pleasing and harmonious to say, "This is a convenient contrivance!" "He is an indulgent parent;" "She behaves with uniform formality;" as, "This is a useful contrivance;" "He is a kind parent;" "She behaves with unvaried formality."

We proceed to confider the members of a fentence, with regard to harmony. They should not be too long, nor disproportionate to each other. When they have a regular and proportional division, they are much easier to the voice, are better remembered, and more clearly understood, than when this rule is not attended to: for whatever tires the voice, and offends the car, is apt to mar the sirength of the expression, and to degrade the sense of the author. And this is a sufficient ground for paying attention to the order and proportion of sentences, and the different parts of which they consist. The following passage exhibits sentences, wherein the different members are proportionally arranged.

Temple, speaking farcastically of man, says, "But his pride is greater than his ignorance, and what he wants in knowledge he supplies by sufficiency. When he has looked about him as far as he can, he concludes there is no more to be seen; when he is at the end of his line, he is at the bottom of the ocean; when he has shot his best, he is sure none ever did, or ever can, shoot better, or beyond it. His own reason he holds to be the certain measure of truth; and his own knowledge, of what is possible in nature." Here every thing is at once easy to the breath, grateful to the ear, and intelligible to the understanding. See another example of the same kind, in the 17th and 18th verses of the 3d chapter of the Prophet Habakkuk. We may remark here, that our present version of the Holy Scriptures, especially of the Pfalms of David, abounds with inflances of an harmonious arrangement of the words and members of fentences.

In the following quotation from Tillotson, we shall become sensible of an effect very different from that of the preceding sentences. "This discourse, concerning the easiness of the Divine commands, does all along suppose and acknowledge the difficulties of the first entrance upon a religious course; except only in those persons who have had the happiness to be trained up to religion, by the easy and

there is some degree of harshness and unpleasantness, owing principally to this, that there is properly no more than one pause or rest in the sentence, falling betwixt the two members into which it is divided; each of which is so long as to occasion a considerable stretch of the breath in pronouncing it.

With refpect to the cadence or close of a sentence, care should be taken that it be not abrupt, or unpleasant. The sollowing instances may be sufficient to show the propriety of some attention to this part of the rule. "Virtue, diligence, and industry, joined with good temper and prudence, are prosperous in general." It would be better thus; "Virtue, diligence, and industry, joined with good temper and prudence, have ever been sound the surest road to prosperity. An author, speaking of the Trinity, expresses himself thus: "It is a mystery which we sirmly believe the truth of, and humbly adore the depth of." How much better would it have been by this transposition! "It is a mystery, the truth of which we firmly believe, and the depth of which we humbly adore."

In order to give a fentence this proper close, the longest member of it, and the fullest words, should be reserved to the conclusion. But in the distribution of the members, and in the cadence of the period, as well as in the sentences themselves, variety must be observed; for the mind soon tires with a frequent repetition of the same tone.

Though attention to the words and members, and the close of sentences, must not be neglected, yet it must also be kept within proper bounds. Sense has its own harmony; and in no instance should perspicuity, precision, or strength of sentiment, be sacrificed to sound. All unmeaning words, introduced merely to round the period, or fill up the melody, are great blemithes in writing. They are childish and puerile ornaments, by which a sentence always loses more in point of weight, than it can gain by such additions to its found.

CHAPTER IV.

Of FIGURES of SPEECH.

THE FOURTH requisite of a perfect sentence, is a judicious use of the Figures of Speech.

As figurative language is to be met with in almost every fentence, and, when properly employed, confers beauty and strength on composition, some knowledge of it appears to be indispensable to the scholars, who are learning to form their sentences with perspicuity, accuracy, and sorce. We shall, therefore, enumerate the principal sigures, and give them some explanation.

In general, Figures of Speech imply some departure from fimplicity of expression; the idea which we mean to convey is expressed in a particular manner, and with some circumfiance added, which is defigned to render the impression more strong and vivid. When I say, for instance, " That a good man enjoys comfort in the midst of adverfity;" I just express my thought in the simplest manner posfible: But when I fay, "To the upright there ariseth light in darkness;" the same sentiment is expressed in a sigurative flyle; a new circumstance is introduced; "light," is put in the place of "comfort," and "darkness" is used to to fuggest the idea of "adversity." In the same manner, to say, "It is impossible, by any search we can make, to explore the Divine Nature fully," is to make a fumple proposition: But when we say, "Canst thou, by fearching, find out the Lord? Canft thou find out the Almighty to perfection? It is high as heaven, what canst thou do? deeper than hell, what canti thou know?" this introduces a figure into flyle; the proposition being not only expressed, but, with it, admiration and alionishment.

But, though figures imply a deviation from what may be reckoned the most simple form of speech, we are not thence to conclude, that they imply any thing uncommon, or unnatural. On many occasions, they are both the most natural, and the most common method of uttering our fentiments. It would be very difficult to compose any dif-

course without using them often; nay, there are sew sentences of considerable length, in which there does not occur some expression that may be termed a sigure. This being the case, we may see the necessity of some attention, in order to understand their nature and use.

At the first rife of language, men would begin with giving names to the different objects which they differently or thought of. This flock of words would, then, be very fmall. As men's ideas multiplied, and their acquaintance with objects increased, their store of names and words would alfo increase. But to the vast variety of objects and ideas, no language is adequate. No language is so copious, as to have a feparate word for every feparate idea. Men naturally fought to abridge this labour of multiplying words without end; and, in order to lay less burden on their memories, made one word, which they had already appropriated to a certain idea or object, stand also for some other idea or object, between which and the primary one, they found, or fancied, some relation. The names of sensible objects, were the words most early introduced; and were, by degrees, extended to those mental objects of which men had more obscure conceptions, and to which they found it more difficult to assign distinct names. They borrowed, therefore, the name of some sensible idea, where their imagination found some assinity. Thus, we speak of a piercing judgment, and a clear head; a foft or a hard heart; a rough or a fmooth behaviour. We fay, inflamed by anger, warmed by love, fwelled with pride, melted into grief; and thefe are almost the only significant words which we have for such ideas.

The principal advantages of figures of speech are the two following.

First, They enrich language, and render it more copious. By their means, words and phrases are multiplied for expressing all forts of ideas; for describing even the minuted differences; the nicest shades and colours of thoughts; which no language could possibly do by proper words alone, without assistance from Tropes.

Secondly, They frequently give us a much clearer and

more striking view of the principal object, than we could have, if it were expressed in smple terms, and divested of its accessory idea. By a well chosen sigure, even conviction is assisted, and the impression of a truth upon the mind, made more lively and forcible than it would otherwise be. We perceive this in the following illustration of Young: "When we dip too deep in pleasure, we always stir a fediment that renders it impure and noxious:" and in this instance: "A heart boiling with violent passions, will always send up insatuating sumes to the head." An image that presents so much congruity between a moral and a fensible idea, serves, like an argument from analogy, to ensorce what the author asserts, and to induce belief.

Having confidered the general nature of figures, we proceed next to particularize fuch of them as are of the most importance; viz. Metaphor, Allegory, Comparison, Metonomy, Synecdoche, Personification, Apostrophe, Antithesis, Interrogation, Exclamation, and Amplification or Climax.

Metaphor is a figure founded entirely on the refemblance which one object bears to another. Hence, it is much allied to fimile or comparison; and is indeed no other than a comparison, expressed in an abridged form. When I say of some great minister, "That he upholds the state, like a pillar which supports the weight of a whole edifice," I sairly make a comparison: But when I say of such a minister, "That he is the pillar of the state," it now becomes a metaphor. In the latter case, the comparison betwixt the minister and a pillar is made in the mind; but it is expressed without any of the words that denote comparison.

The following are examples of metaphor taken from Scripture: "I will be unto her a wall of fire round about, and will be the glory in the midft of her." "Thou art my rock and my fortrefs." "Thy word is a lamp to my feet, and a light to my path."

Rules to be observed in the use of metaphors.

1. Metaphors, as well as other figures, should, on no occafron, be suck on profusely; and thould always be such as ac
eved with the strain of our sentiment. The latter part of the

following passage, from a late historian, is, in this respect, very exceptionable. He is giving an account of the samous act of parliament against irregular marriages in England. "The bill," says he, "underwent a great number of alterations and amendments, which were not effected without violent contest. At length, however, it was sloated through both houses on the tide of a great majority, and steered into the safe harbour of royal approbation."

- 2. Care should be taken that the resemblance, which is the foundation of the metaphor, be clear and perspicuous, not furfetched, nor difficult to discover. The transgression of this rule makes what are called harsh or forced metaphors; which are displeasing, because they puzzle the reader, and, instead of illustrating the thought, render it perplexed and intricate.
- 3. In the third place, it must be carefully attended to, in the conduct of metaphors, never to jumble metaphorical and plain language together. An author, addressing himself to the king, says:

To thee the world its present homage pays: The barvest early, but mature the praise.

It is plain, that, had not the rhyme mined him to the choice of an improper phrase, he would have said,

The harvest early, but mature the crop;

and fo would have continued the figure which he had begun. Whereas, by dropping it unfinished, and by employing the literal word "praise," when we were expecting fomething that related to the harvest, the figure is broken, and the two members of the sentence have no suitable correspondence to each other.

4. We should avoid making two inconsistent metaphors meet on one object. This is what is called mixed metaphor, and is indeed one of the greatest misapplications of this figure. One may be "sheltered under the patronage of a great mean;" "but it would be wrong to say, "sheltered under the mask of dissimulation;" as a mask conceals, but does not shelter. Addison, in his letter from Italy, says:

I bridle in my struggling muse with pain, That longs to launch into a bolder strain.

The muse, sigured as a horse, may be bridled; but when we speak of launching, we make it a ship; and, by no sorce of imagination, can it be supposed both a horse and a ship at one moment; bridled, to hinder it from taunching.

The same author, elsewhere, says, "There is not a single view of human nature, which is not sufficient to extinguish the seeds of pride." Observe the incoherence of the things here joined together; making a view extinguish, and extinguish seeds.

As metaphors ought never to be mixed, so they should not be crowded together on the same object: for the mind has disticulty in passing readily through many disterent views given it, in quick succession, of the same object.

The last rule concerning metaphors, is, that they be not loo far pursued. If the resemblance, on which the figure is founded, be long dwelt upon, and carried into all its minute circumstances, we tire the reader, who soon grows weary of this stretch of sancy; and we render our discourse obscure. This is called, straining a metaphor. Authors of a lively and strong imagination are apt to run into this exuberance of metaphor. When they hit upon a figure that pleases them, they are both to part with it, and frequently continue it so long, as to become tedious and intricate. We may observe, for instance, how the following metaphor is spun out.

Thy thoughts are vagabonds; all outward bound,
'Midth fands, and rocks, and florms, to cruize for pleafure;'
If gain'd, dear bought; and better mils'd than gain'd.
Fancy and Scafe, from an infected fhore,
Thy cargo brings; and petillence the prize;
Then such the thirst, insatiable thirst,
By fond indulgence but instan'd the more;
Fancy still cruizes, when poor Senie is tired.

An Allegory may be regarded as a metaphor continued; fince it is the representation of some one thing by unother

that resembles it, and which is made to sland for it. We may take from the Scriptures a very fine example of an allegory, in the 80th pfalm; where the people of Ifrael are represented under the image of a vine; and the figure is carried throughout with great exactness and beauty. "Thou hast brought a vine out of Egypt; thou hast cast out the heathen, and planted it: Thou preparedst room before it; and didfi cause it to take deep root, and it filled the land. The hills were covered with the shadow of it; and the boughs thereof were like the goodly cedars. She fent out her boughs into the fea, and her branches into the river. Why haft thou broken down her hedges, fo that all they which pass by the way do pluck her? The boar out of the wood doth watie it, and the wild beaft of the field doth deyour it. Return, we befeech thee, O God of Hofis, look down from heaven, and behold and vifit this vine!" See alfo Ezekiel, xvii. 22,-24.

The first and principal requisite in the conduct of an allegery, is, that the figurative and the literal meaning be not mixed inconfiftently together. Indeed, all the rules that were given for metaphors, may also be applied to allegories, on account of the affinity they bear to each other. The only material difference between them, besides the one being short, and the other being prolonged, is, that a metaphor always explains itself by the words that are connected with it in their proper and natural meaning: as, when I fay, "Achilles was a lion;" "An able minister is the pillar of the state;" the "lion" and the "pillar" are fusiciently interpreted by the mention of "Achilles" and the "minister," which I join to them; but an allegory is, or may be, allowed to stand less connected with the literal meaning, the interpretation not being to directly pointed out, but left to our own reflection.

Allegory was a favourite method of delivering inflructions in ancient times: for what we call fables or parables are no other than allegories. By words and actions attributed to beafts or inanimate objects, the dispositions of men were figured; and what we call the moral, is the unfigured sense or meaning of the allegory.

A Comparison or Simile, is, when the resemblance between two objects is expressed in sorm, and generally pursued more sully than the nature of a metaphor admits; as when it is said, "The actions of princes are like those great rivers, the course of which every one beholds, but their springs have been seen by sew." "As the mountains are round about Jerusalem, so the Lord is round about his people." "Behold, how good and how pleasant it is for brethren to dwell together in unity. It is like the precious ointment, &c. and as the dew that descended upon the mountains of Zion," &c.

The advantage of this figure arises from the illustration which the fimile employed gives to the principal object; from the clearer view which it presents; or the more strong impression which it stamps upon the mind. Observe the effect of it in the following instance: The author is explaining the diffinction between the powers of sense and imagination in the human mind. "As wax," says he, "would not be adequate to the purpose of signature, if it had not the power to retain as well as to receive the impression, the same holds of the soul with respect to sense and imagination. Sense is its receptive power; imagination, its retentive. Had it sense without imagination, it would not be as wax, but as water, where, though all impressions be instantly made, yet as soon as they are made, they are instantly lost."

In comparisons of this nature, the understanding is concerned much more than the fancy; and therefore the rules to be observed, with respect to them, are, that they be clear, and that they be useful; that they tend to render our conception of the principal object more distinct; and that they do not lead our view aside, and bewilder it with any salfe light. We should always remember that similes are not arguments: however apt they may be; they do no more than explain the writer's sentiments; they do not prove them to be sounded in truth.

Comparisons ought not to be sounded on likenesses which are too faint and remote. For these, in place of

assisting, strain the mind to comprehend them, and throw no light upon the subject. It is also to be observed, that a comparison which, in the principal circumstances, carries a sufficiently near resemblance, may become unnatural and obscure, if pushed too far. Nothing is more opposite to the design of this sigure, than to hunt after a great number of coincidences in minute points, merely to show how far the writer's ingenuity can stretch the resemblance.

A Metenomy is founded on the feveral relations, of cause and effect, container and contained, sign and thing signified. When we say: "They read Milton," the cause is put instead of the effect; meaning "Milton's works." On the other hand, when it is said, "Grey hairs should be respected," we put the effect for the cause, meaning by "grey hairs," old age. "The kettle boils," is a phrase where the name of the container is substituted for that of the thing contained. "To assume the sceptre" is a common expression for entering on royal authority; the sign being put for the thing signified.

When the whole is put for a part, or a part for the whole; a genus for a species, or a species for a genus; in general, when any thing less, or any thing more, is put for the precise object meant; the sigure is then called a Synecdoche or Comprehension. It is very common, for instance, to describe a whole object by some remarkable part of it: as when we say: "A sleet of twenty "fail," in the place of "ships;" when we use the "head" for the "person," the "acuses" for the "fea." In like manner, an attribute may be put for a subject: as, "Youth" for the "young, the "deep" for the "fea;" and sometimes a subject for its attribute.

Personification, or Prosopopoeia, is that figure by which we attribute life and action to inanimate objects. The use of this figure is very natural and extensive: there is a wonderful pronencis in human nature, under emotion, to animate all objects. When we say, "the ground thirsis for rain," or, "the earth smiles with plenty;" when we

speak of "Ambition's being refilefs," or "a disease's being deceitful;" such expressions show the facility with which the mind can accommodate the properties of living creatures to things that are inanimate, or to abstract conceptions of its own forming. The following are striking examples from the Scriptures: "When Israel went out of Egypt, the house of Judah from a people of strange language; the sea saw it, and sted: Jordan was driven back. The mountains skipped like rams, and the little hills like lambs. What ailed thee, O thou sea! that thou steddest? Thou Jordan, that thou wast driven back? Ye mountains, that ye skipped like rams; and ye little hills, like lambs? Tremble, thou earth, at the presence of the Lord, at the presence of the God of Jacob."

"The wilderness and the solitary place shall be glad sor them: and the desert shall rejoice and blossom as the rose."

Apostrophe is a turning off from the regular course of the subject, to address some person or thing: as " Death is swallowed up in victory. O death! where is thy sting? O grave! where is thy victory?"

The following is an inftance of perfonification and aportrophe united: "O thou fword of the Lord! how long will it be ere thou be quiet? put thyfelf up into thy fcabbard, reft and be fill! How can it be quiet, feeing the Lord hath given it a charge against Askelon, and against the seathore? there hath he appointed it." See also an extraordinary example of these figures, in the 14th chapter of Isaiah, from the 4th to the 19th verse, where the prophet describes the fall of the Asyrian empire.

The next figure in order, is Antithefis. Comparison is sounded on the resemblance; antithesis, on the contrast or opposition of two objects. Contrast has always the effect, to make each of the contrasted objects appear in the stronger light. White, for instance, never appears so bright as when it is opposed to black; and when both are viewed together. An author, in his desence of a friend against the

charge of murder, expresses himself thus: "Can you believe that the person whom he scrupled to slay, when he might have done so with full justice, in a convenient place, at a proper time, with secure impunity; he made no scruple to murder against justice, in an unsavourable place, at an unseasonable time, and at the risk of capital condemnation?"

255

The following examples further illustrate this figure.

Tho' deep, yet clear; tho' gentle, yet not dull; Strong, without rage; without o'erflowing, full.

"If you feek to make one rich, fludy not to increase his stores, but to diminish his desires."

"If you regulate your defires according to the fiandard of nature, you will never be poor; if according to the flandard of opinion, you will never be rich."

A maxim, or mora, faying, very properly receives the form of the two last examples; both because it is supposed to be the fruit of meditation, and because it is designed to be engraven on the memory, which recals it more easily by the help of such contrasted expressions. But where such sentences frequently succeed each other; where this becomes an author's favourite and prevailing manner of expressing himself, his style appears too much studied and laboured; it gives us the impression of an author attending more to his manner of saying things, than to the things themselves.

Interrogation. The unfigured, literal use of interrogation, is to ask a question; but when men are strongly moved, whatever they would affirm or deny, with great earnesines, they naturally put in the form of a question, expressing thereby the strongest considence of the truth of their own sentiment, and appealing to their hearers for the impossibility of the contrary. Thus Balaam expressed himself to Balak: "The Lord is not a man that he should lie, neither the son of man that he should repent. Hath he said it? and shall he not do it? Hath he spoken it? and shall he not make it good?"

Exclamations are the effect of strong emotions of the mind; such as, surprise, admiration, joy, grief, and the like. "Wo is me that I sojourn in Mesech, that I dwell in the tents of Kedar!" Pfalms.

"O that my head were waters, and mine eyes a fountain of tears, that I might weep day and night for the flain of the daughter of my people! O that I had in the wilderness a lodging-place of way-faring men!" Jeremiah.

The last figure of speech that we shall mention, is what writers call Amplification or Climax. It confilts in heightening all the circumstances of an object or action, which wedefire to place in a firong light. We shall give an instance from the charge of a judge to the jury, in the case of a woman accused of murdering her own child: "Gentlemen, if one man had anyhow flain another; if an adverfary had killed his opposer, or a woman occasioned the death. of her enemy; even these criminals would have been capitally punished by the Cornelian law: but, if this guiltless infant, who could make no enemy, had been murdered by itsown nurse, what punishments would not then the mother have demanded? With what cries and exclamations would the have flunned your cars? What thall we fay then, when a woman, guilty of homicide, a mother, of the murder of her innocent child, hath comprised all those misdeeds in one fingle crime; a crime, in its own nature, detellable; ina woman, prodigious; in a mother, incredible; and perpetrated against one whose age called for compassion, whose near relation claimed affection, and whose innocence deferved the highest favour."

We have now finished what was proposed, concerning Perspicuity in single words and phrases, and the accurate construction of sentences. The former has been considered, under the heads of Purity, Propriety, and Precision; and the latter, under those of Clearness, Unity, Strength, and the proper use of Figurative Language. Though many of

those attentions, which have been recommended, may appear minute, yet their effect, upon writing and style, is much greater than might, at sirst, be imagined. A fentiment which is expressed in accurate language, and in a period, clearly, neatly, and well arranged, makes always a stronger impression on the mind, than one that is expressed inaccurately, or in a feeble or embarrassed manner. Every one feels this upon a comparison: and if the effect be sensible in one fentence, how much more in a whole discourse, or composition that is made up of such sentences?

The fundamental rule for writing with accuracy, and into which all others might be refolved, undoubtedly is, to communicate, in correct language, and in the cleareji and most natural order, the ideas which we mean to transfufe into the minds of others. Such a felection and arrangement of words, as do most justice to the sense, and express it to most advantage, make an agreeable and firong impression. To 🗀 there points have tended all the rules which have been given. Did we always think clearly, and were we, at the fame time, fully mafters of the language in which we write, there would be occasion for few rules. Our fentences would then, of courfe, acquire all those properties of clearness, unity, firength, and accuracy, which have been recommended. For we may reft afsured, that, whenever we exprefs ourfelves ill, befides the mifmanagement of language, there is, for the most part, some mistake in our manner of conceiving the subject. Embarrassed, obscure, and seeble fentences, are generally, if not always, the refult of embarrafsed, obscure, and seeble thought. Thought and expression act and re-act upon each other. The understanding and language have a fuict connexion; and they who are learning to compose and arrange their sentences with accuracy and order, are learning, at the same time, to think with accuracy and order; a confideration which alone will recompense the student, for his attention to this branch of literature.

CONCLUSION.

THE Compiler of the preceding Grammar, and the Obfervations on Perspicuity and Accuracy of Expression, hopes it will not be deemed inconsistent with the nature and design of his work, to make a short address to the young students, respecting their suture walks in the paths of literature, and the chief purpose to which they should apply their acquisitions.

In forming and publishing this compilation, the Author has been influenced by a defire to facilitate your progress in learning, and to assist the labours of those, who are endeavouring to lay a proper foundation for improving your understandings, and for the rational and useful employment of your time; in place of those frivolous pursuits, and that love of ease and sensual pleasure, which enseeble and corrupt the minds of many inconsiderate youth, and render them useless to society.

He hopes, therefore, that you will effectually co-operate-with the labours of your friends to promote your happiness; and that you will not rest satisfied with mere literary acquisitions, nor with a selfish or contracted application of them. When they advance only the interests of this stage of being, and look not beyond the present transient scene, their influence is circumscribed within a very narrow sphere. The great business of this life is to prepare, and qualify us, for the enjoyment of a better, by cultivating a pure and humble state of mind, and cherishing habits of piety towards God, and benevolence to men. Every thing that promotes or retards this important work, is of great moment to you, and claims your sirst and most serious attention.

If, then, the cultivation of letters, and an advancement in knowledge, be found to firengthen and enlarge your.

minds, to purify and exalt your pleafures, and to dispote you to pious and virtuous sentiments and conduct, they produce excellent effects, which, with your best endeavours to improve them, and the Divine blessing superadded, will not fail to render you, not only wise and good yourselves, but also the happy instruments of disfusing wisdom, religion, and goodness around you. Thus improved, they become handmaids to virtue; and may eventually serve to increase the rewards, which Insinite Goodness has promised to your faithful labours, for the advancement of truth and righteousness amongst men.

But if you counteract the hopes of your friends, and the tendency of these attainments; if you grow proud and vain of your real or imaginary diffinctions, and regard with contempt, the virtuous, unlettered mind; if you fuffer yourfelves to be abforbed in over-curious or tritling speculations; if your heart and principles be debased and poifoned, by the influence of corrupting and pernicious books, for which no elegance of composition can make amends; if you spend so much of your time in literary engagements, as to make them interfere with higher occupations, and lead you to forget, that pious and benevolent action is the great end of your being: if such be the unhappy misapplication of your acquifitions and advantages, inflead of becoming a blefsing to you, they will prove the occasion of greater condemnation; and may, in the hour of folemn reflection, jutily excite the regretful fentiments,-that it would have been better for you, to have remained Illiterate and unafpiring; to have been confined to the humbleftwalks of life; and to have been even hewers of wood and drawers of water all your days.

Just published,

And fold by Longman and Rees, No. 39, Paternoster-row; Darton and Harvey, No. 55, Gracechurch-street, London; and by Wilson, Spence, and Mawman, York:

THE ENGLISH READER;

OR PIECES IN PROSE AND POETRY,

Selected from the best Writers.

Designed to assist young Persons to READ with PROPRIETY and EFFECT; to IMPROVE their LANGUAGE and SENTIMENTS; and to inculcate some of the most important PRINCIPLES of PIETY and VIRTUE. With a sew preliminary Observations on the PRINCIPLES of GOOD. READING.

By Lindler Murrar.

Price, bound, 3s. 6d.

"The plan of this work is highly commendable, and the execution is good. We are particularly pleafed with the Compiler's having avoided every fentiment, that might gratify a corrupt mind, or, in the leaft degree, offend the eye or ear of Innocence."

Gent. Magazine, Feb. 1799.

New Editions of the following Books may also be had of the above Booksellers.

ENGLISH GRAMMAR,

Adapted to the different Classes of Learners. With an Appendix, containing Rules and Observations, for assisting the more advanced Students to write with Perspicuity and Accuracy.

BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

The street Edition, improved.

Price, bound, 3s.

"This is a publication of much merit, and fully answers the professions in the Title. The Appendix contains some of the

best Rules for writing elegantly, and with propriety, that we recollest to have seen." Monthly Review, July, 1795.

"This Grammar is, on many accounts, entitled to commendatory in ties. Its materials have been carefully and judicionally fels? It; its arrangement is diffined, and well adapted to the purpose of instruction; and its expression is simple, perspicuous, and accurate. The Compiler has observed a due media in between extreme conciseness, and extreme minuteness of detail; and he has very properly distinguished the leading heads from their subordinate illustrations, by printing them in a larger letter, &c.

See the character of large in the sinalytical Review, June, 1746.

"There appears, in this Grammar, a remiderable there of judicious analysis and arrangement. The author applies his philological rules, with great success, to have on the more striking anomalies in English phrashology."

Ixtract from the British Critic N w. 1796.

We have, on a former occasion, expressed the satisfaction that we derived from the first publication of this work; and we have only to add, concerning the present edition, that it e atterations and additions, though not very large, are judicious, and therefore, so far, improvements; and that we consider the work as very superior to most, if not all, of its predecessors on the same subject."

Mentally Review, January, 1798.

5-AP-58
ENGLISH EXERCISES,

Adapted to the Grammar lately pulllified by L. Murray; confifting of

Exemplifications of the Parts of Speech; Inflances of Faife Orthography; Violations of the Rules of Syntax; Defects in Punctuation; and Violations of the leuies respecting Perspicuity and Accuracy.

Deligned for the Benefit of Private Learners, as well as for the Use of Schools.

BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

The fourth Edition.

Price, bound, 2s.

A KEY to the ENGLISH EXERCISES;

Calculated to enable private Learners, to become their own Instructers in Grammar and Composition.

EY THE SAME AUTHOR.

The FOURTH Edition.
Price, bound, 25.

The Exercises and Key may be had together.

Price, bound, 3s. 6.1.

We have been much pleafed with the peruful of these Exercises. They occupy, with diffinguished excellence, a most important place in the Science of the English Language; and, as such, we can warmly recommend them to the trachers of schools, as well as to all those who are deficus of attaining correctness and precision in their native tongue."

Monthly Reviews, July, 1797.

mar, to which these Exercises refer, we must request our readers to turn back to Review, Vol. xxiii, page 645. The value of that work is greatly increased by this large collection of examples, under the heads above mentioned.—They are selected with great judgment, and very happily adapted to the purpose of correcting common errors in writing and speaking: they assord the learner a large field of employment; which, carefully gone through, either in writing or orally, will not fail to produce a habit of attention to accuracy.—With respect to the matter, as well as the language of these examples, we are much pleased with the Author's choice: both vulgarity and peculiarity of sentiment, are judiciously avoided."

Extract from the Analytical Review, Sept. 1797.

"These Exercises are, in general, well calulated to promote the purpose of information, not only with regard to orthography and punctuation, but also in point of phraseology, syntax, and precise perspicuity of composition."

Critical Review, October, 1797.

This book has been accidentally missaid; but we willingly repeat the praise we formerly gave the Author for his English Grammar. There is great judgment shown in these Exercises; and, what is no common merit, the greatest perspicuity in the adaptation of the examples to the several rules."

British Critic, November, 1798.

Young perfons who have left school, as well as persons more advanced in life, and who have not attained a competent know-ledge of English Grammar, and the principles of accurate composition, may, by carefully perusing these Exercises, and comparing them with the Key, readily become their own instructors, and considerably improve themselves, in this important branch of Literature. To render the corrections obvious and intelligible to all readers, the Key, which is necessary to the work, not only repeats every sentence at large, in its corrected form, but mostly distinguishes the variations, by Italic characters.

AN ABRIDGMENT OF

L. Murray's English Grammar. With an Appendix, containing an Exemplification of the Parts of Speech. Defigned for the younger Class of Learners.

BY THE AUTHOR OF THE GRAMMAR.

The THIRD Edition, corrected.

Price, bound, 15.

Murray's English Grammar, we have only, in announcing this Abridgment, to observe, that it appears to us to be made with great judgment, and that we do not know a performance of this kind better fitted for the use of children. This small Grammar has also the recommendation of being very neatly printed. It may be very properly used as an introduction to the Author's larger work."

Analytical Review, October, 1797.

"An introductory book on Grammar cannot be made too plain and simple; and this Author has therefore done wisely, in selecting from his larger work, (noticed Brit. Crit. vol. viii. p. 567.) such particulars as form a convenient book of initiation for children. It is not intended by the Author, that the present Grammar should superfede his larger work, but that it should serve as an introduction to it. English Grammars are now so numerous, that selection becomes difficult; but Mr. Murray's is certainly one of those that are well executed."

British Critic, September, 1798.

See also the Monthly Review, November, 1797.